

2023 Cornell Survey of Sexual Assault and Related Misconduct: Tables of Survey Results

In spring 2023, Cornell conducted the Survey of Sexual Assault and Related Misconduct. This survey asked about students' experiences with inappropriate sexual behavior while attending Cornell, including: nonconsensual sexual contact, sexual and gender-based harassment, stalking, and domestic and dating violence. The survey also asked about students' knowledge of Cornell resources and policies concerning sexual assault and related misconduct; and their experiences with and beliefs about responding as a bystander to situations involving sexual assault or misconduct.

A stratified, random sample of 6,000 students enrolled at our Ithaca, Weill Cornell Medicine, and Cornell Tech campuses was invited to participate in this web-based survey. A total of 2,163 students completed the survey, yielding a 36% response rate.

The results of the 2023 Survey of Sexual Assault and Related Misconduct are displayed in the tables that follow. The percentages shown in the tables are weighted estimates of the Cornell student population.

Survey responses are broken out for all students; for women and men, by enrollment status (undergraduate or graduate/professional); and for students who reported a non-binary gender identity [identified in the tables as TGQN]. The letter "s" indicates a result has been suppressed due to an unweighted cell count of less than 5.

Table A1. Demographic profile of survey population, sample and respondents

		Corr Popula			rvey nple ^b		rvey ndents ^c	Survey
Variable	Category	N	Column %	N	Column %	N	Column %	Response Rate ^d
Population	All students	25,898	100	6,000	100	2,163	100	36.1
Campus	Cornell Tech	532	2.1	100	1.7	42	1.9	42.0
	Ithaca	24,007	92.7	5,525	92.1	1,990	92.0	36.0
	Weill	1,359	5.2	375	6.3	131	6.1	34.9
Student Affiliation	Undergraduate	15,012	58.0	3,435	57.3	1,152	53.3	33.5
	Graduate/Professional	10,885	42.0	2,565	42.8	1,010	46.7	39.4
Year of Study (Undergrad)	First Year	3,493	23.3	828	24.1	300	26.0	36.2
	Sophomore	4,107	27.4	933	27.2	337	29.3	36.1
	Junior	3,760	25.0	844	24.6	250	21.7	29.6
	Senior	3,652	24.3	830	24.2	265	23.0	31.9
Gender identity	Man	12,137	46.9	2,837	47.3	837	36.7	29.5
	Woman	13,679	52.8	3,163	52.7	1,062	46.5	33.6
	TGQN ^e					75		
Race / Ethnicity	White	7,953	30.7	1,893	31.6	706	32.6	37.3
	Asian (U.S.)	4,340	16.8	994	16.6	299	13.8	30.1
	Black (U.S.)	1,538	5.9	369	6.2	116	5.4	31.4
	Hispanic (U.S.)	2,862	11.1	669	11.2	250	11.6	37.4
	Other or multiple races (U.S.)	1144	4.4	259	4.3	103	4.8	39.8
	Unknown (U.S.)	4,302	16.6	977	16.3	352	16.3	36.0
	International	3,721	14.4	829	13.8	331	15.3	39.9

^aThe survey population included all students who were enrolled in both the Fall 2022 and Spring 2023 semesters. Non-degree seeking and Employee Degree students were excluded, as were students who were in absentia, or studying off-campus beyond New York City.

^bFrom this population, a random sample of 6,000 Cornell students, stratified by campus, was invited to participate in the survey.

^cA survey was counted as "completed" if a respondent answered at least one question in each of the sections concerning sexual harassment, stalking, and nonconsensual sexual contact.

^dSurvey response rates are calculated by dividing the number of respondents by the number of students in the survey sample.

^eTGQN includes the following gender identities: transgender woman/trans feminine, transgender man/trans masculine, genderqueer, non-binary, agender, two spirit, and questioning or unsure.

Women, by Enrollment Type Men, by Enrollment Type Percent of Cornell Ithaca campus Graduate or Graduate or Total Undergraduate professional Undergraduate professional TGQN students who are aware of services provided by ... % % % Cornell Victim Advocate 30.8 27.5 31.3 30.9 34.9 29.6 Cornell Health 93.6 95.7 95.0 92.5 89.7 95.8 Cornell Women's Resource Center 47.4 63.3 39.5 43.0 33.3 59.2 Cornell LGBT Resource Center 59.0 44.5 84.5 51.9 53.1 40.4 University Ombuds 25.6 18.0 29.1 75.5 33.7 29.6 71.4 76.6 76.1 Title IX Coordinator 68.0 59.6 59.0

90.0

36.0

88.2

35.8

90.9

42.7

85.9

25.4

Table B1. Percent of Cornell Ithaca campus students who are aware of services related to nonconsensual sexual or relationship experiences

86.8

30.1

88.4

35.1

Cornell University Police

Cornell SHARE website

Table B2. Percent of Weill Cornell students who are aware of services related to nonconsensual sexual or relationship experiences

Percent of Weill Cornell students who	Total	Women	Men
are aware of services provided by	%	%	%
Student Mental Health Services	94.9	95.8	95.1
NY Presbyterian Emergency Room	86.4	87.5	82.9
NY Presbyterian VIP	43.2	38.9	48.8
Title IX Coordinator	66.1	65.3	70.7
Campus Security	82.2	77.8	87.8
Crime Victims Treatment Center	18.6	15.3	26.8
NYPD - 911	98.3	98.6	97.6
Cornell Victim Advocate	19.0	15.5	27.5
Cornell Share website	27.1	20.8	34.2

Table B3. Percent of Cornell Tech students who are aware of services related to nonconsensual sexual or relationship experiences

Percent of Cornell Tech students who	Total	Women	Men
are aware of services provided by	%	%	%
Title IX Coordinator	53.9	62.5	47.8
Cornell Tech Student & Acad. Affairs	92.3	100.0	87.0
Cornell Tech Wellness & Support	89.7	100.0	82.6
Cornell Tech Safety & Security	76.9	81.3	73.9
Crime Victims Treatment Center	30.8	31.3	30.4
NYPD - 911	94.9	100.0	91.3
Cornell Victim Advocate	25.6	25.0	26.1
Cornell Women's Resource Center	20.5	18.8	21.7
Cornell SHARE website	28.2	18.8	34.8

Table B4. Students' knowledge of policies and procedures related to nonconsensual experiences

			Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	llment Type	
		Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
How knowledgeable are you about:	•	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Not at all	8.5	11.1	9.4	5.3	6.8	9.7
what behaviors are included in Cornell's definition of sexual assault	A little	24.9	34.8	24.3	21.5	16.1	23.6
and related misconduct?	Moderately	45.7	39.0	46.0	52.3	48.6	41.7
	Very	20.9	15.1	20.3	21.0	28.6	25.0
	Not at all	8.5	11.1	9.4	5.3	6.8	9.7
Cornell's definition of affirmative	A little	24.9	34.8	24.3	21.5	16.1	23.6
consent?	Moderately	45.7	39.0	46.0	52.3	48.6	41.7
	Very	20.9	15.1	20.3	21.0	28.6	25.0
how Cornell's policies concerning	Not at all	29.5	35.9	32.4	23.1	22.1	39.7
sexual assault and related	A little	28.7	33.5	27.6	26.8	24.2	34.3
misconduct differ from local, state,	Moderately	28.9	24.4	26.8	33.3	35.1	19.2
and federal laws?	Very	13.0	6.2	13.2	16.9	18.7	6.9
how to file a formal complaint	Not at all	30.3	34.9	30.1	29.7	25.2	28.8
about sexual assault or related	A little	31.5	35.6	30.1	30.9	28.3	32.9
misconduct to initiate a disciplinary	Moderately	26.5	21.0	26.8	26.9	33.5	28.8
procedure at Cornell?	Very	11.7	8.5	13.0	12.5	13.0	9.6
the process that occurs after a	Not at all	38.7	44.1	39.5	38.4	30.4	40.3
student has filed a formal complaint	A little	28.8	31.2	29.1	27.8	26.8	27.8
of sexual assault or related	Moderately	23.4	18.5	22.0	23.5	31.7	25.0
misconduct at Cornell?	Very	9.2	6.3	9.4	10.3	11.2	6.9

Table C1. Percent of students who have experienced sexual or gender-based harassment since entering Cornell

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Any sexual or gender-based harassment	45.4	65.2	38.6	51.4	17.8	60.0
Specific forms of harassment: Someone at Corne	<i>II</i>					
made sexual remarks or told insulting or offensive jokes or stories	27.0	44.8	22.1	25.1	9.3	41.4
 made inappropriate or offensive comments about your body, appearance or sexual activities 	35.4	54.0	28.5	43.2	10.4	41.4
 made inappropriate, offensive or threatening comments about your gender, sex, sexual orientation, or gender identity 	13.3	19.7	10.5	13.4	3.8	31.9
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to get you to talk about sexual matters	12.8	23.0	8.2	14.3	3.0	14.3
used social or online media to send offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos to you that you didn't want	4.2	8.2	2.2	5.2	0.1	2.9
used social or online media to send offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos <u>about you</u> that you didn't want shared	2.3	4.1	1.3	3.1	0.0	2.9
continued to ask you to go out or engage in sexual activities despite refusal	12.8	27.5	9.1	9.3	1.6	11.4
Percent of harassment victims who reported:						
Harassment had to be tolerated or it created a hostile environment	27.6	25.7	41.5	17.9	25.0	36.6
Specific impacts of harassment:						
Had to tolerate this behavior or risk jeopardizing your academic standing, employment, or participation in Cornell programs or activities	22.2	19.4	34.1	15.8	20.3	21.4
Behavior was so severe or persistent that it interfered with or affected your participation in Cornell programs or activities	13.7	13.8	18.2	8.2	9.4	24.4

Women, by Enrollment Type Men, by Enrollment Type

Table C2. Percent of students experiencing sexual or gender-based harassment since entering Cornell by victim characteristics

		VVOITICIT, Dy LIT	Tollinent Type	men, by Emoninent Type		
Percent of students who have experienced sexual or gender-based harassment by	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
victims':	%	%	%	%	%	
Sexual orientation						
Heterosexual	40.4	60.5	35.5	48.0	21.1	
LGBAQ	59.6	75.8	47.5	69.1	15.8	
Race and citizenship						
White (U.S.)	52.2	73.3	40.2	57.6	21.9	
Asian (U.S.)	43.5	60.2	44.2	31.6	11.5	
Black (U.S.)	43.6	57.7	61.9	33.3	12.5	
Hispanic (U.S.)	63.2	75.0	44.8	66.0	37.5	
Other/Multi (U.S.)	64.8	62.1	77.8	69.2	S	
International	28.7	48.4	21.8	48.4	10.3	
Have a disability						
No	37.8	42.1	31	46.4	15.3	
Yes	60.1	76.5	56.4	58.0	27.5	

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity.

Table C3. Number of offenders and number of harassment experiences in 2022-2023 academic year

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Men, by Enrollment Type		
Among students who experienced harassing behaviors, percent who reported:	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Number of people who behaved this way							
1 person	35.1	31.5	46.6	29.0	47.5	28.6	
2 persons	30.4	30.8	31.3	26.9	36.1	26.2	
3 or more persons	34.5	37.7	22.2	44.0	16.4	45.2	
Number of times behavior was experienced in	2022-2023 aca	ademic year					
0 times	19.8	15.3	27.6	17.4	29.7	19.5	
1 time	26.4	26.5	27.0	22.6	34.4	29.3	
2 times	21.1	21.7	20.1	22.6	10.9	24.4	
3-5 times	25.6	29.4	21.3	26.2	18.8	24.4	
6 or more times	7.1	7.0	4.0	11.3	6.3	2.4	

Table C4. Characteristics of harassment offenders: Affiliation with Cornell and relationship to victims

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
Percent of harassment victims who reported —	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
the following offender characteristics:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Gender						
Man	73.6	95.3	88.1	75.0	64.1	83.3
Woman	18.9	13.1	17.6	36.2	26.6	31.0
Genderqueer, non-binary or another gender identity	2.5	1.9	S	5.6	S	s
Another gender identity	s	s	s		S	s
Don't know or not sure Affiliation with Cornell:	4.7	2.5	S	8.2	15.6	14.3
Student	78.9	96.6	79.0	96.9	87.5	92.9
Faculty	7.0	3.8	19.9	S	10.9	14.3
Staff or administrator	4.3	2.2	11.9	S	S	11.9
Other affiliation	1.8	1.6	4.0	S	S	s
Not affiliated	2.2	3.8	s	2.6	S	s
Don't know if affiliated	2.9	2.8	2.8	3.6	S	s
Relationship to victim:						
Current intimate partner	7.4	14.1	5.1	5.1	S	S
Previous intimate partner	7.3	13.8	4.0	5.1	s	11.9
Friend	33.2	37.2	27.8	55.6	28.1	31.0
Acquaintance	36.3	49.4	30.1	43.9	28.1	52.4
Classmate	24.5	20.9	42.0	24.0	40.6	31.0
Someone I just met at a social event	21.9	40.0	10.8	21.4	14.1	14.3
Someone I just met on-line	4.0	8.4	s	s	S	S
Faculty or instructor	6.2	3.1	18.8	s	S	14.3
Academic, research or clinical advisor	0.5	S	s	s	S	S
Teaching or research assistant	0.6	s	s	s	S	S
Coach or trainer	S	s	s	s	S	S
Residence hall student staff	s	s	s	s	S	S
Other staff or administrator	1.7	1.6	3.4	s	S	s
Employer or supervisor	.6	s	s	0.0	S	S
Co-worker	4.2	3.1	11.9	s	9.4	S
Someone I did not know or recognize	9.3	15.0	3.4	11.2	S	21.4
Other	2.6	s	4.5	3.1	s	S

Table C5. Percent of harassment victims who contacted a program or resource to talk about experience, and reasons for not doing so

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of harassment victims who:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Contacted at least one program	14.9	15.2	22.9	9.8	2.2	18.0
Did not contact a program or resource to talk ab	out the expe	rience(s): Reasons				
Did not know where to go or who to tell	11.2	11.7	14.8	6.4	17.8	s
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	34.3	37.1	39.8	23.1	33.3	50.0
Did not think anything would be done	29.2	28.9	32.4	25.0	31.1	40.6
Wanted to forget it happened	17.3	19.5	21.3	12.2	13.3	18.8
Had other things I needed to focus on	49.2	50.4	60.2	37.2	53.3	59.4
Did not have proof that behavior happened	20.5	24.2	20.4	13.5	22.2	25.0
Oid not think it was serious enough	72.0	69.5	87.0	66.0	64.4	81.3
I did not believe program had staff who shared my identity(s)	2.3	3.5	s	s	s	s
Felt I was partly responsible for what nappened	5.3	6.3	s	3.2	11.1	s
Felt embarrassed or ashamed	7.3	10.2	7.4	4.5	S	s
Feared retaliation from offender or others	10.5	9.4	13.9	7.7	17.8	s
Feared academic or professional consequences	6.3	5.5	14.8	s	13.3	s
Feared negative social consequences	16.3	14.5	21.3	7.0	26.7	25.0
Feared I wouldn't be able to control what actions would be taken	9.5	9.0	73.9	6.4	s	s
Did not want offender to get into trouble	11.2	10.5	13.0	11.5	S	s
Got the support I needed elsewhere	15.0	14.5	19.4	14.7	S	15.6
Other reason(s)	6.5	4.7	8.3	9.6	s	s

Table C6. Percent of harassment victims who talked about experience to others

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
Percent of harassment victims who told the	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
following persons about experience	%	%	%	%	%	%
Friend	92.6	94.2	89.8	93.6	83.3	100.0
Spouse or romantic partner	29.1	20.5	42.0	21.1	50.0	42.4
Family member	23.3	28.8	22.3	15.6	14.3	18.2
Faculty or instructor	6.8	5.8	14.6	s	S	s
Cornell staff member	7.1	6.1	13.4	S	S	s
Health professional	8.5	9.4	11.5	s	S	15.2
Spiritual or religious advisor	1.3	s	s	s	S	s
Someone else	2.7	1.8	3.8	S	S	s
Didn't tell anyone	19.5	11.8	9.8	38.4	30.0	21.4

Table D1. Percent of students who have experienced stalking since entering Cornell

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Stalking	12.8	21.3	9.7	11.1	4.9	22.9
Specific forms of stalking:						
Unwanted calls, emails or messages that caused fear for personal safety	8.3	14.9	5.8	7.5	1.9	15.7
Showed up or waited for student in way that caused fear for personal safety	2.6	5.0	1.8	1.4	S	13.4
Spied on, watched or followed in way that caused fear for personal safety	3.6	5.7	3.3	3.1	1.4	5.7

Table D2. Among stalking victims, number of stalking experiences in 2022-2023 academic year

		Women, by En	Women, by Enrollment Type Men, by Enrollment Type			
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of stalking victims who reported:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Number of times behavior was experienced in 20	022-2023 acad	demic year				
0 times	22.6	15.4	35.7	S	s	s
1 time	6.8	4.6	S	S	S	S
2 times	28.6	30.8	21.4	39.1	S	S
3-5 times	29.3	35.4	17.9	34.8	s	s
6 or more times	12.8	13.9	s	S	s	s

Table D3. Percent of students who have experienced stalking since entering Cornell by victim characteristics

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	llment Type	
Percent of students who have experienced -	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
stalking by victims':	%	%	%	%	%	
Sexual orientation						
Heterosexual	10.8	19.6	9.2	9.4	4.5	
LGBAQ	17.8	24.1	11.3	20.6	S	
Race and citizenship						
White (U.S.)	11.9	17.6	8.7	13.7	S	
Asian (U.S.)	15.0	25.3	9.1	5.2	S	
Black (U.S.)	12.1	24.1	s	8.0	S	
Hispanic (U.S.)	20.2	33.3	S	9.4	S	
Other/Multi (U.S.)	21.6	27.6	s	18.0	S	
International	7.6	11.1	5.7	9.1	S	
lave a disability						
No	9.9	18.8	8.3	8.3	3.8	
Yes	17.3	23.0	12.7	13.5	11.4	

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity.

Table D4. Characterisitcs of stalking offenders: Affiliation with Cornell and relationship to victim

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
			Graduate or		Graduate or	
Percent of stalking victims who reported the	Total	Undergraduate	professional	Undergraduate	professional	TGQN
following offender characteristics:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Gender	42.5	F2.0	F1 1	22.2	_	100.0
Man	43.5	52.8	51.1	23.3	S	100.0
Woman	15.7	9.4	13.3	34.9	S	0.0
Genderqueer or non-binary	S	S	S	s	S	0.0
Another gender identity	s	s	s	s	s	
Don't know or not sure	2.2	s	s	s	s	0.0
Affiliation with Cornell:						
Student	50.4	57.5	51.1	48.8	27.8	72.3
Faculty	s	S	s	s	s	0.0
Staff or administrator	S	S	s	s	s	0.0
Other affiliation	S	S	s	s	s	0.0
Not affiliated	S	S	s	s	s	s
Don't know if affiliated	4.8	S	s	s	s	0.0
Relationship to victim:						
Current intimate partner	6.1	S	s	11.6	S	s
Previous intimate partner	9.6	10.4	s	s	s	s
Friend	17.0	21.7	s	20.9	S	s
Acquaintance	20.0	24.5	20.0	18.6	S	s
Classmate	16.5	17.0	28.9	s	S	s
Someone I just met at a social event	4.3	6.6	s	s	S	0.0
Someone I just met on-line	S	S	s	S	S	0.0
Faculty or instructor	S	S	s	S	S	0.0
Academic, research or clinical advisor	S	S	s	S	S	0.0
Teaching or research assistant	S	S	s	S	S	0.0
Coach or trainer	S	S	s	S	S	0.0
Residence hall student staff	S	S	s	S	S	0.0
Other staff or administrator	S	S	s	s	s	0.0
Employer or supervisor	S	S	s	S	S	0.0
Co-worker	S	S	s	S	S	0.0
Someone I did not know or recognize	3.5	S	s	S	S	S
Other	s	s	s	s	s	0.0

Table D5. Percent of stalking victims who contacted a program or resource, and reasons for not doing so

		Women, by Enrollment Type		Men, by Enro	Men, by Enrollment Type		
		· · · · ·	Graduate or		Graduate or		
_	Total	Undergraduate	professional	Undergraduate	professional	TGQN	
Percent of stalking victims who:	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Contacted at least one program	25.0	23.0	42.3	S	S	S	
Did not contact a program or resource to talk abo	out the exper	rience(s): Reasons		S	s		
Did not know where to go or who to tell	22.2	25.5	s	35.3	S	S	
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	27.8	31.9	s	29.4	S	S	
Did not think anything would be done	35.6	36.2	40.0	47.1	s	S	
Wanted to forget it happened	21.1	21.3	s	S	s	S	
Had other things I needed to focus on	46.7	42.6	73.3	S	s	S	
Did not have proof of behavior	21.1	17.0	46.7	S	S	S	
Oid not think it was serious enough	63.3	61.7	86.7	52.9	S	s	
Did not believe program or resource or had staff members who shared my identity	s	s	s	s	s		
felt partly responsible	S	S	s	S	s		
Felt embarrassed or ashamed	8.9	S	s	S	s	S	
eared retaliation from the offender or others	16.7	17.0	33.3	s	s	S	
Feared academic or professional consequences	6.7	s	s	s	S	0.0	
Feared negative social consequences	15.6	12.8	s	s	s	S	
eared I wouldn't be able to control what steps would be taken	11.1	12.8	s	s	s	s	
Did not want offender to get into trouble	13.3	10.6	s	s	S	S	
Got the support I needed elsewhere	12.2	S	S	S	S	0.0	
Other reason	6.7	S	s	s	s	S	

Table D6. Percent of stalking victims who talked about experience to others

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type		
Percent of stalking victims who told the —	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN	
following persons about experience	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Friend	95.1	95.2	92.0	94.1	100.0	77.0	
Spouse, sexual or romantic partner	36.1	25.4	60.0	35.3	0.0	s	
Family member	36.9	38.1	48.0	29.4	0.0	S	
Faculty or instructor	16.4	12.7	28.0	S	0.0	0.0	
Cornell staff member	13.9	12.7	20.0	S	0.0	0.0	
Health professional	9.8	S	24.0	S	0.0	s	
Spiritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	s	S	S	S	0.0	0.0	
Someone else	4.3	S	s	s	0.0	0.0	
Didn't tell anyone	8.2	s	s	26.1	0.0	S	

Table E1. Percent of students^a who have experienced domestic or dating violence since attending Cornell

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Men, by Enrollment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Experienced domestic or dating violence Specific forms of violence:	12.8	20.5	8.8	12.2	8.2	12.0
Partner has controlled or tried to control you	4.4	7.0	2.7	4.6	3.3	S
Partner has threatened physical harm to you, someone else or themselves	3.3	5.7	2.1	3.0	s	s
Partner has used physical force against you	4.2	7.9	2.4	4.6	S	S
Partner has been verbally abusive to you	9.0	13.3	7.2	9.5	5.3	S

^aDomestic and dating violence questions were only asked of students who indicated that they were or had been in a partnered relationship.

Table E2. Number and gender of violent partners, and number of domestic or dating violence experiences in 2022-2023 academic year

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
			Graduate or		Graduate or	
Percent of domestic or dating violence -	Total	Undergraduate	professional	Undergraduate	professional	TGQN
victims who reported:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Number of partners who behaved this way						
1 person	94.2	92.7	93.1	93.8	100.0	100.0
2 persons	4.6	5.9	s	s	0.0	0.0
3 or more persons	S	S	s	s	0.0	0.0
Gender of partners who behaved this way						
Man	65.4	97.1	96.6	S	S	S
Woman	32.7	S	s	90.6	80.0	S
Genderqueer or non-binary	s	S	S	s	S	S
Another gender identity	S	S	S	s	S	S
Don't know or not sure	S	S	S	S	S	S
Number of times behavior was experienced in 2	2022-23 acade	emic year				
0 times	32.5	27.9	42.9	28.1	36.8	S
1 time	14.9	20.6	17.9	S	S	S
2 times	9.1	11.8	s	s	S	S
3-5 times	24.7	25.0	21.4	28.1	26.3	S
6 or more times	18.8	14.7	s	28.1	26.3	S

Table E3. Percent of students experiencing domestic or dating violence since entering Cornell, by victim characteristics

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type
Percent of students who have experienced -	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
domestic or dating violence by victims':	%	%	%	%	%
Sexual orientation					
Heterosexual	11.0	17.7	8.2	11.5	6.7
LGBAQ	17.4	25.9	11.9	15.7	12.2
Race and citizenship					
White (U.S.)	13.6	18.3	6.0	16.5	10.9
Asian (U.S.)	13.5	26.0	s	0.0	S
Black (U.S.)	s	S	s	S	S
Hispanic (U.S.)	18.9	24.5	s	19.4	S
Other/Multi (U.S.)	16.9	29.2	s	S	S
International	9.7	18.8	8.6	S	S
Have a disability					
No	9.5	17.8	5.9	10.0	4.3
Yes	18.4	24.1	14.2	16.9	18.5

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity.

Table E4. Percent of domestic or dating violence victims who contacted a program or resource, and reasons for not doing so

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Contacted at least one program	18.6	15.6	20.8	22.6	S	S
Did not contact a program or resource to talk about	out the exper	rience(s): Reasons				
Did not know where to go or who to tell	18.4	20.4	26.3	s	S	s
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	33.3	40.7	s	25.0	50.0	s
Did not think anything would be done	31.6	40.7	s	20.8	42.9	0.0
Wanted to forget it happened	34.2	40.7	31.6	S	42.9	s
Had other things I needed to focus on	47.4	57.4	52.6	s	42.9	S
Did not have proof behavior happened	22.8	35.2	s	S	S	0.0
Did not think it was serious enough	57.9	53.7	57.9	50.0	78.6	S
Did not believe resource had staff members who shared my identities	4.4	s	s	s	S	0.0
Felt I was partly responsible for what happened	26.3	22.2	31.6	S	42.9	S
Felt embarrassed or ashamed	29.8	29.6	52.6	s	s	s
Feared retaliation from offender or others	16.7	20.4	s	0.0	S	0.0
Feared academic or professional consequences	9.6	9.3	s	0.0	S	0.0
Feared negative social consequences	17.5	18.5	s	S	S	s
Feared I wouldn't be able to control what steps would be taken	11.4	9.3	s	s	S	s
Did not want offender to get into trouble	24.6	14.8	31.6	25.0	35.7	s
Got the support I needed elsewhere	21.1	16.7	36.8	S	S	s
Other reason	8.8	S	S	S	S	0.0

Table E5. Percent of domestic or dating violence victims who talked about experience to others

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Friend	75.0	80.9	75.9	62.5	70.0	83.3
Spouse or romantic partner	14.1	13.2	S	18.8	S	S
Family member	31.4	33.8	24.1	31.3	40.0	S
Faculty or instructor	S	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cornell staff member	S	S	S	S	0.0	0.0
Health professional	21.8	16.2	27.6	21.9	25.0	S
Spiritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	s	0.0	s	s	0.0	0.0
Someone else	s	0.0	s	0.0	0.0	0.0
Didn't tell anyone	17.9	13.2	17.2	28.1	s	s

Table F1. Percent of students who have experienced nonconsensual sexual contact involving physical force or incapacitation, since entering Cornell and in 2022-2023 academic year

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	llment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%	%	%	%
In 2022-23 academic year Penetration or sexual touching	5.5	12.7	2.6	4.9	0.6	s
Penetration (attempted or completed)	1.3	2.8	0.9	s	S	S
Sexual touching	5.3	12.5	2.4	4.9	5	S
Since entering Cornell Penetration or sexual touching	11.0	23.3	6.3	9.3	2.2	12.9
Penetration (attempted or completed)	3.9	9.5	1.9	2.3	5	S S
Sexual touching	10.5	22.5	5.8	9.0	1.6	11.4

Table F2. Percent of students who have experienced nonconsensual sexual contact involving physical force or incapacitation since entering Cornell by victim characteristics

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	llment Type
Percent of students who have experienced -	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
nonconsensual sexual contact by victims':	%	%	%	%	%
Sexual orientation					
Heterosexual	8.9	22.8	3.8	7.1	S
LGBAQ	16.4	25.3	11.3	17.7	S
Race and citizenship					
White (U.S.)	15.2	27.3	10.1	13.7	4.7
Asian (U.S.)	9.0	17.9	s	S	0.0
Black (U.S.)	7.1	13.8	0.0	S	0.0
Hispanic (U.S.)	17.1	34.7	s	S	S
Other/Multi (U.S.)	21.6	41.4	S	12.8	S
International	5.1	11.1	4.8	0.0	S
Have a disability					
No	7.0	17.0	3.6	5.5	S
Yes	19.2	33.9	11.9	14.6	s

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity.

Table F3. Percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual contact involving physical force or incapacitation, in 2022-2023 academic year and since entering Cornell, by year in school or program

	Total	Women	Men
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%
In 2022-2023 academic year			
First year	12.6	18.3	5.0
Sophomore	11.0	15.6	6.6
Junior	7.8	9.1	6.2
Senior	4.5	7.0	s
Graduate and Professional Students	1.6	2.6	s
Since entering Cornell			
First year	12.6	18.3	5.0
Sophomore	18.3	27.7	6.6
Junior	18.0	20.7	13.6
Senior	19.7	26.1	13.1
Graduate and Professional Students	4.5	6.3	2.2

Table F4. Percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual contact since entering Cornell by tactic^a

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro		
Since entering Cornell, percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
contact involving:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Physical force	8.5	18.5	4.8	6.7	1.4	11.4
Sexual penetration or oral contact	2.3	5.6	1.7	S	0.0	S
Sexual touching	8.1	18.1	3.9	6.5	1.8	10.0
Incapacitation	5.2	11.9	2.6	4.4	S	S
Sexual penetration or oral contact	2.6	6.4	0.9	1.6	S	S
Sexual touching	4.8	10.7	2.6	4.4	S	S
Coercion	1.30	2.00	S	1.60	S	S
Sexual penetration or oral contact	0.80	1.60	S	s	s	S
Sexual touching	0.70	S	S	S	S	S
Absence of affirmative consent	7.5	13.9	5.8	5.7	S	15.7
Sexual penetration or oral contact	4.1	8.1	3.5	1.8	S	10.0
Sexual touching	6.5	12.3	4.3	5.4	S	14.3
Physical force or incapacitation	11.0	23.3	6.3	9.3	2.2	12.9
Sexual penetration or oral contact	3.9	9.5	1.9	2.3	S	S
Sexual touching	10.5	22.5	5.8	9.0	1.6	11.4
Physical force, incapacitation, coercion or absence of affirmative consent	13.8	27.4	8.9	11.1	3.0	21.4
Sexual penetration or oral contact	6.3	13.7	4.3	3.1	S	12.9
Sexual touching	12.7	25.8	7.6	10.6	2.7	18.6

^aPrevalence values cannot be summed across categories of tactics. An incident of nonconsensual sexual contact may have involved the use of more than one tactic.

Table F5. Percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual contact in 2022-2023 by tactic

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro		
In 2022-2023 academic year, percent of students who experienced nonconsensual	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
sexual contact involving:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Physical force	4.3	10.1	1.9	3.9	S	S
Sexual penetration or oral contact	1.0	2.2	S	S	0.0	s
Sexual touching	4.1	9.9	1.7	3.9	S	S
Incapacitation	2.3	5.8	S	1.8	S	S
Sexual penetration or oral contact	0.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	S	S
Sexual touching	2.1	5.2	S	1.8	0.0	S
Coercion	0.6	1.2	S	S	0.0	0.0
Sexual penetration or oral contact	0.3	S	S	S	0.0	0.0
Sexual touching	0.3	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Absence of affirmative consent	3.1	6.6	2.1	2.3	S	S
Sexual penetration or oral contact	1.3	3.2	1.1	S	S	S
Sexual touching	2.9	6.0	1.9	2.3	S	S
Physical force or incapacitation	5.5	12.7	2.6	4.9	S	S
Sexual penetration or oral contact	1.3	2.8	S	S	S	S
Sexual touching	5.3	12.5	2.4	4.9	S	s
Physical force, incapacitation, coercion or absence of affirmative consent	6.6	14.9	3.5	5.4	S	S
Sexual penetration or oral contact	2.2	5.0	1.5	S	s	S
Sexual touching	6.3	14.5	3.2	5.2	S	s

^aPrevalence values cannot be summed across categories of tactics. An incident of nonconsensual sexual contact may have involved the use of more than one tactic.

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Ty	
Percent of victims of nonconsensual sexual contact —	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
who reported:	%	%	%	%	%
Type of nonconsensual sexual contact experienced in in	cident				
Penetration	7.9	9.3	S	S	S
Sexual touching	66.4	61.0	66.7	86.1	87.5
Both penetration and sexual touching	25.7	29.7	25.0	S	S
ype of tactic(s) involved in incident					
Perpetrator used physical force or threats of physical force	20.2	20.2	30.3	17.1	S
I was unable to consent due to incapacitation	39.9	46.8	27.3	40.0	S
Perpetrator threatened serious non-physical harm or promised rewards	3.0	S	S	S	0.0
Perpetrator did this without my affirmative consent	72.7	64.2	93.9	74.3	s
/hen incident occurred					
Since the beginning of the fall 2022 semester	41.6	48.4	38.5	38.1	s
Prior to the fall 2022 semester	58.4	51.6	61.5	61.9	81.8

Table G2. Characteristics of offenders in most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
Percent of victims who reported the following	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
offender characteristics:	%	%	%	%	%
Number of offenders					
1 person	86.3	84.4	94.7	89.5	72.7
2 persons	9.3	10.7	S	S	S
3 or more persons	4.4	4.9	S	S	S
Gender					
Man	81.7	97.4	94.4	27.0	s
Woman	17.4	2.6	5.6	70.3	S
Genderqueer or non-binary	S	S	0.0	S	0.0
Another gender Affiliation with Cornell	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Student	82.9	92.4	61.1	83.8	55.6
Faculty Chaff and desirable to the second se	S	s	0.0	0.0	0.0
Staff or administrator	s	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Other affiliation	2.3	5	5	S	0.0
Not affiliated	14.4	7.6	16.7	16.2	S
Don't know if affiliated	3.7	S	S	S	0.0
Relationship to victim					
Current intimate partner	25.5	25.4	33.3	S	5
Previous intimate partner	8.8	5.9	16.6	S	0.0
Friend	22.7	19.5	27.8	22.2	S
Acquaintance	17.6	17.8	8.3	30.6	S
Classmate	9.3	4.2	25.0	S	S
Someone I just met at a social event	30.1	40.7	S	36.1	S
Someone I just met on-line	6.0	6.8	S	S	S
Faculty or instructor	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Academic, research or clinical advisor	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Teaching assistant or research assistant	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coach or trainer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Residence hall student staff	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other staff or administrator	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Employer or supervisor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Co-worker	s	S	S	0.0	0.0
Someone I did not know or recognize	9.3	9.3	S	s	s
Other	2.8	S	S	S	0.0

Table G3. Involvement of alcohol or other drugs in most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
Percent of victims who reported:	%	%	%	%	%
Perpetrator(s) drank alcohol prior to incident					
No	27.2	26.3	33.3	13.5	s
Yes	59.0	58.5	58.3	70.3	66.7
Don't know	13.8	15.3	8.3	16.2	S
Perpetrator(s) used other recreational drugs prior t	o incident				
No	41.9	42.4	52.8	24.3	S
Yes	13.8	11.9	19.4	16.2	S
Don't know	44.2	45.8	27.8	59.5	55.6
Victim drank alcohol prior to incident					
No	40.3	35.0	52.8	35.1	S
Yes	59.7	65.0	47.2	64.9	77.8
lictim used recreational drugs prior to incident					
No	88.5	88.1	91.7	91.9	77.8
Yes	11.5	11.9	s	s	S
ictim was given alcohol or another drug without co	onsent prior to incid	dent			
No	88.0	85.6	94.4	91.9	77.8
Yes, I am certain	2.3	S	s	0.0	0.0
I suspect but am not certain	3.7	S	0.0	s	s
Don't know	6.0	8.5	s	s	0.0
lictim was conscious but incapacitated by alcohol o	r other drugs for a	ll or parts of incident			
No	62.7	55.1	80.6	56.8	77.8
Yes	30.0	37.3	s	35.1	s
Not sure	7.4	7.6	s	s	0.0
lictim was passed out or unconscious for all or part	ts of incident				
No	85.7	83.1	86.1	89.2	77.8
Yes	9.7	11.9	s	s	0.0
Not sure	4.6	5.1	S	S	0.0

Table G4. Location of most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
Percent of victims who reported the most serious —	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
incident occurred in:	%	%	%	%	%
Residence hall	24.0	29.1	s	21.6	0.0
Student cooperative house	S	S	0.0	0.0	S
Graduate or professional student housing	2.3	S	s	0.0	S
Fraternity chapter house	16.6	24.8	s	13.5	0.0
Sorority chapter house	S	0.0	0.0	s	0.0
Academic building on campus	S	S	s	S	S
Other type of non-residential campus facility	S	0.0	0.0	S	0.0
Fraternity annex	3.7	6.0	0.0	S	0.0
Off-campus house or apartment unofficially affiliated with other type of student club	10.1	12.8	s	S	0.0
Other off-campus house, apartment or private residence	21.2	16.2	41.7	16.2	s
Restaurant, bar or club	7.4	S	s	16.2	S
Outdoor or recreational space	4.6	S	s	S	0.0
Some other location	5.1	S	s	s	s
Don't know or don't remember where it occurred	S	S	0.0	S	0.0

Table G5. Impacts experienced by victims as a result of the most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
	Total		Graduate or		Graduate or
-	Total %	Undergraduate %	professional %	Undergraduate %	professional %
Percent of victims who reported experiencing:	70	70	70	70	70
Health and social impacts					
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	34.0	31.0	54.3	18.9	S
Loss of interest in daily activities	18.9	17.7	31.4	10.8	s
Feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	29.3	31.9	34.3	S	s
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	22.2	19.5	40.0	S	0.0
Feeling numb or detached	38.2	38.1	45.7	29.7	S
Headaches or stomach aches	12.7	15.9	s	S	S
Increased or decreased appetite	18.4	18.6	22.9	16.2	S
Increased drug or alcohol use	13.2	12.4	S	16.2	0.0
Changed residence	2.8	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Withdrawal from interactions with friends	23.6	19.5	34.3	21.6	S
Decreased social activities	28.8	23.9	40.0	27.0	S
None of the above	33.5	33.6	14.3	54.1	s
Academic and professional impacts					
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	39.2	39.8	48.6	29.7	S
Difficulty concentrating on thesis/dissertation research or lab/clinical duties	10.4	5.3	28.6	S	S
Decreased class attendance	20.8	21.2	17.1	21.6	s
Dropped or changed a class	5.7	8.0	S	S	0.0
Considered leaving school or program	8.0	9.7	8.6	S	0.0
Took a leave of absence	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Changed advisors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Changed career plan	2.8	1.8	s	0.0	0.0
None of the above	53.8	54.0	40.0	67.6	70.0
Other impacts					
Physically injured	7.1	7.1	s	s	0.0
Contracted a sexually transmitted infection	s	s	0.0	0.0	s
Became pregnant	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
None of the above	91.4	91.1	88.6	91.9	88.9

Table G6. Percent of victims who contacted a program or resource about most serious incident, and reasons for not doing so

· -		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
Percent of victims who:	%	%	%	%	%
Contacted at least one program	11.1	22.7	5.8	9.6	1.9
Did not contact a program or resource to talk about the	e experience: R	easons			
Did not know where to go or who to tell	14.9	17.4	S	S	0.0
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	36.0	41.8	36.0	25.8	S
Did not think anything would be done	30.3	32.7	20.0	35.5	S
Wanted to forget it happened	40.6	41.8	44.0	35.5	S
Had other things I needed to focus on	39.4	38.8	44.0	38.7	S
Did not have proof that behavior happened	25.1	30.6	24.0	16.1	0.0
Did not think it was serious enough	58.3	56.1	68.0	54.8	55.6
Did not believe program or resource had staff sharing my identities	2.9	s	0.0	S	0.0
Felt I was partly responsible for what happened	26.9	30.6	28.0	S	S
Felt embarrassed or ashamed	22.9	24.5	36.0	S	s
Feared retaliation from offender or others	12.6	13.3	s	16.1	0.0
Feared academic or professional consequences	6.9	7.1	s	s	0.0
Feared negative social consequences	12.6	12.2	24.0	S	s
Feared I wouldn't be able to control what steps would be taken	10.9	10.2	s	s	0.0
Did not want offender to get into trouble	21.1	21.4	24.0	19.4	0.0
Got the support I needed elsewhere	17.7	12.2	24.0	22.6	s
Other reason	2.9	S	s	s	0.0

Table G7. Percent of victims who talked about most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact to others

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
Percent of victims who talked to:	%	%	%	%	%
Friend	84.2	85.8	79.4	86.1	70.0
Spouse, sexual or romantic partner	20.1	14.2	35.3	13.9	S
Family member	20.1	22.1	17.7	19.4	S
Faculty or instructor	5.3	4.4	S	S	0.0
Cornell staff member	4.3	4.4	S	S	0.0
Health professional	10.1	11.5	s	S	0.0
Spiritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Someone else	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Didn't tell anyone	12.4	11.5	17.7	s	s

Table H1. Students' bystander experiences

			Women, by Enr	ollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type		
	_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
		%	%	%	%	%	%
Since you have been a student	Have not experienced this situation	69.8	51.2	77.8	66.4	87.7	66.7
at Cornell have you intervened	<u>Have</u> experienced this situation and have:						
in some way to help a friend	Never responded this way	0.6	0.0	1.1	S	S	0.0
avoid an unwanted, uncomfortable, or risky social interaction?	Sometimes responded this way	3.8	4.1	2.9	5.7	2.9	S
	Usually responded this way	10.3	14.8	8.1	13.1	4.3	8.7
	Always responded this way	15.5	29.9	10.0	13.7	4.9	21.7
I believe it is important to speak up when I hear someone	Strongly disagree	0.7	1.1	s	S	S	S
making inappropriate sexual	Disagree	2.3	1.3	1.6	3.6	3.1	0.0
comments, jokes, or gestures	Agree	37.6	40.1	29.3	46.9	38.0	30.4
to another person.	Strongly agree	59.4	57.5	68.2	49.3	58.4	68.1
I believe it's important to intervene if I see someone at Cornell behaving in a	Strongly disagree	0.8	1.1	1.1	S	S	S
	Disagree	2.7	1.5	2.0	4.9	2.8	0.0
controlling or abusive way	Agree	32.7	33.3	31.5	32.9	34.8	30.4
towards a dating or sexual partner.	Strongly agree	63.8	64.2	65.3	61.9	61.9	68.1